WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

V.

	Silberto	<u>Hern</u>	andez-Mondragon	Case Number:	16-01065MJ-001		
In acco	ordance ng facts	with the are esta	Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a oblished: (Check one or both, as applicable.)	detention hearing has been su	bmitted. I conclude that the		
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.						
X			rance of the evidence the defendant is a this case.	serious flight risk and require	the detention of the defendant		
			PART I FINI	DINGS OF FACT			
	(1)	18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is					
			a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S	S.C. § 3156(a)(4).			
			an offense for which the maximum sen	tence is life imprisonment or d	leath.		
			an offense for which a maximum term of	of imprisonment of ten years o	or more is prescribed in		
			a felony that was committed after the doffenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142	efendant had been convicted of the convi	of two or more prior federal tate or local offenses.		
			any felony that involves a minor victim of device (as those terms are defined in set to register under 18 U.S.C. §2250.	or that involves the possessior ection 921), or any other dang	n or use of a firearm or destructive erous weapon, or involves a failure		
	(2)	18 U.S. release	.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on see pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.				
	(3)	18 U.S. convict	8 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of onviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.				
	(4)	will reas	ndings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions ll reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has it rebutted this presumption.				
			Alternativ	e Findings			
	(1)	18 U.S.	C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable cause	to believe that the defendant l	nas committed an offense		
			for which a maximum term of imprisonn	nent of ten years or more is pr	rescribed inf		
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 23	32b.			
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for which a prescribed.	n maximum term of imprisonm	ent of 20 years or more is		
			an offense involving a minor victim under	er section	, ²⁰		
	(2)	The def conditio	endant has not rebutted the presumption ns will reasonably assure the appearanc	n established by finding 1 that	no condition or combination of		

¹⁹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{^{20}} lnsert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S 1201, 1591, 2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.$

Case 2:16-mj-01065-JFM Document 4 Filed 02/02/16 Page 2 of 3

		Alternative Findings					
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.					
(2	2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.					
(;	3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).					
(4	4)						
		PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)					
(′	1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ²¹ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:					
(2	2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:					
X	þ	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.					
X	Ó	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.					
Ė		If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.					
K	2	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.					
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.					
]	The defendant lives and works in Mexico.					
]	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.					
]	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.					
]	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.					
]	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of					
		·					
Τŀ	he de	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:					

The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:16-mj-01065-JFM Document 4 Filed 02/02/16 Page 3 of 3

	In addition:
	The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.
	PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
oendir order	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement prections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody ag appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: February 1, 2016

proceeding.

JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge